Case No. 2:13-cv-01300-APG-NJK

ORDER

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 J.D.H., et al., 11 Plaintiff(s), 12 VS. 13 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al., 14 15 Defendant(s). 16 This matter is before the Court on the parties' Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling 17 Order. Docket No. 42. The proposed discovery plan misstates Local Rule 26-4, in that it provides 18 that requests to extend deadlines in the scheduling order need only be filed 20 days before the 19 discovery cut-off. See Docket No. 42 at 4. Local Rule 26-4 requires that any request to extend 20 deadlines set forth in the scheduling order must be submitted at least 21 days before the subject 21 deadline. For example, any request to extend the deadline for initial expert disclosures must be filed 22 at least 21 days before the expiration of that deadline. Such a request filed only 21 days before the 23 discovery cut-off would be untimely. 24 // 25 // 26 // 27 //

28

## Case 2:13-cv-01300-APG-NJK Document 44 Filed 08/07/14 Page 2 of 2

In an effort to ensure future compliance and complete understanding of the Local Rules, the Court hereby ORDERS attorneys Gregory Trachtman, Margaret McLetchie, Craig Anderson, and Tye Hanseen to file a certification with the Court no later than August 14, 2014, indicating that they have read and comprehend Local Rules 26-4 and 26-1. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: August 7, 2014 NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge